

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,626	1	08/07/2001	Mehdi Bonakdar	C 2290 COGG	2081	
23657	7590	09/29/2003				
COGNIS C		-	EXAMINER			
2500 RENA GULPH MI		EBLVD., SUITE 20 19406	0	QAZI, SABI	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616	12	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· J		BONAKDAR ET AL.				
Advisory Action	09/923,626					
	Examiner Sabiba Cari	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication onne	Sabiha Qazi	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED on 8/8/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dain have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY,	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	· 				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		Jan Jan				

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Arguments are not found persuasive. Applicants failed to pointing out the specific differences between the prior art and presently claimed invention, if there are any. As was said earlier claims as considered obvious as the steps as claimed are taught by the prior art. Rejections are maintained. On page 3 lines

A reference is good not only for what it teaches by direct anticipation but also for what one of ordinary skill might reasonably infer from the teachings. In re opprecht 12 USPQ 2d 1235, 1236 (Fed Cir. 1989); In re Bode 193 USPQ 12 (CCPA 1976). A reference is not limited to working examples. In re Fracalossi 215 USPQ 569 (CCPA 1982).

Accordingly, the burden of proof is upon applicants to show that instantly claimed subject matter is different and unobvious over those taught by prior art. See In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685, 688; In re Best, 195 USPQ 430 and In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289, 293.

No 892-was attached to the last office action. The typing error has been corrected.